

Global existence of critical nonlinear wave equation with time dependent variable coefficients

Yi Zhou *

Ning-An Lai †

Abstract

In this paper, we establish global existence of smooth solutions for the Cauchy problem of the critical nonlinear wave equation with time dependent variable coefficients in three space dimensions

$$\partial_{tt}\phi - \partial_{x_i}(g^{ij}(t, x)\partial_{x_j}\phi) + \phi^5 = 0, \quad \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^3,$$

where $(g_{ij}(t, x))$ is a regular function valued in the spacetime of 3×3 positive definite matrix and $(g^{ij}(t, x))$ its inverse matrix. Here and in the sequence, a repeated sum on an index in lower and upper position is never indicated. In the constant coefficients case, the result of global existence is due to Grillakis [4]; and in the time-independent variable coefficients case, the result of global existence and regularity is due to Ibrahim and Majdoub [6]. The key point of our proofs is to show that the energy cannot concentrate at any point. For that purpose, following Christodoulou and Klainerman [3], we use a null frame associated to an optical function to construct a geometric multiplier similar to the well-known Morawetz multiplier. Then we use comparison theorem originated from Riemannian Geometry to estimate the error terms. Finally, using Strichartz inequality due to [12] as Ibrahim and Majdoub [6], we obtain global existence.

Keywords: time dependent variable coefficients, critical nonlinearity, null frame, comparison theorem.

1 Introduction

In this work we consider global existence of smooth solutions of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}\phi - \partial_{x_i}(g^{ij}(t, x)\partial_{x_j}\phi) + \phi^5 = 0, & \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^3, \\ \phi(t_0, x) = f_1(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3), & \phi_t(t_0, x) = f_2(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

here $\{g^{ij}(t, x)\}_{i,j=1}^3$ denotes a matrix valued smooth function of the variables $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$, which takes values in the real, symmetric, 3×3 matrices, such that for some $C > 0$,

$$C|\xi|^2 \leq g^{ij}(t, x)\xi_i\xi_j \leq C^{-1}|\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3, (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (1.2)$$

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P. R. China; Key Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Sciences (Fudan University), Ministry of Education of China, P. R. China; Shanghai Key Laboratory for contemporary Applied Mathematics, School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University (Email: yizhou@fudan.ac.cn)

†School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P. R. China; (Email: 071018029@fudan.edu.cn)

Obviously it is a critical wave equation on a curved spacetime. First let us survey existence and regularity results for critical nonlinear wave equations briefly. If $g^{ij} = \delta^{ij}$, which denotes the Kronecker delta function, we say problem (1.1) is of constant coefficients. In the case of critical nonlinear wave equation with constant coefficients, a wealth of results are available in the literature. For cauchy problem, global existence of C^2 -solutions in dimension $n = 3$ was first obtained by Rauch [9], assuming the initial energy to be small. In 1988, also for "large" data global C^2 -solutions in dimension $n = 3$ were shown to exist by Struwe [14] in the radially symmetric case. Grillakis [4] in 1990 was able to remove the latter symmetry assumption and obtained the same result. Not much later, Kapitanskii [7] established the existence of a unique, partially regular solution for all dimensions. Combining Strichartz inequality and Morawetz estimates, Grillakis [5] in 1992 established global existence and regularity for dimensions $3 \leq n \leq 5$ and announced the corresponding results in the radial caes for dimensions $n \leq 7$. Then Shatah and Struwe [10] obtained global existence and regularity for dimensions $3 \leq n \leq 7$. They also proved the global well-posedness in the energy space in [11] 1994. For the critical exterior problem in dimension 3, Smith and Sogge [13] in 1995 proved global existence of smooth solutions. In 2008, Burq et al [2] obtained the same result in 3-D bounded domain.

For the critical Cauchy problem with time-independent variable coefficients, Ibrahim and Majdoub [6] in 2003 studied the existence of both global smooth for dimensions $3 \leq n < 6$ and Shatah-Struwe's solutions for dimensions $n \geq 3$. Recently, we have showed global existence and regularity in [17] for the critical exterior problem with time-independent variable coefficients in dimension $n = 3$.

In this paper we are interested in the critical case with coefficients depending on the time and space variables. Our result concerns global existence and regularity, showed as follow:

Theorem 1.1. Problem (1.1) admits a unique global solution $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$.

The demonstration of theorem 1.1 is done by contradiction, showing ϕ is uniformly bounded. For that purpose, the key step is to show the non-concentration of the L^6 part of the energy (and hence the energy), and to do this the idea is to work in geodesic cone just like light cone in constant coefficients case. Thus we have

Lemma 1.2. (Non-concentration lemma) If $\phi \in ([t_0, 0) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ solves (1.1), then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q(t)} \phi^6 dv = 0,$$

where $Q(t)$ is the intersection of t time slice with backward solid characteristic cone from origin.

In order to prove the non-concentration lemma, in the constant coefficients caes the Morawetz multiplier $t\partial_t + r\partial_r + 1$ is used, where $r = |x|$; while in the time-independent variable coefficients case the geometric multiplier $t\partial_t + \rho\nabla\rho + 1$ is used instead, where ρ is the associated distance function. The time-dependent variable coefficients case considered in this work is much more difficult, and the simple minded generalization to use multiplier $t\partial_t + (\underline{u} - t)\nabla(\underline{u} - t) + 1$ will not work, where $\underline{u}$ is an optical function (close to $t + |x|$). Following Christodoulou and Klainerman [3] we use a null frame. However, the emphasis in their work

is the asymptotic behavior of the null frame at infinity, and here we emphasize its asymptotic behavior locally at a possible blow up point. We derive the asymptotic behavior of the null frame by using comparison theorem originated from Riemannian geometry.

To prove our result, we also need Strichartz inequality, stated as

Lemma 1.3. (Strichartz inequality) Assuming $g^{ij}(t, x)$ satisfies the conditions of the introduction, ϕ solves the Cauchy problem as follow in the half open strip $[t_0, 0) \times \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}\phi - \partial_{x_i}(g^{ij}(t, x)\partial_{x_j}\phi) = F(t, x), \\ \phi(t_0, x) = f_1(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3), \quad \phi_t(t_0, x) = f_2(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3), \end{cases}$$

then we have

$$\|\phi\|_{L_t^{\frac{2q}{q-6}} L_x^q([t_0, 0) \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(\|f_1\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|f_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([t_0, 0) \times \mathbb{R}^3)})$$

$$6 \leq q < \infty. \quad (1.3)$$

For the proof see Smith [12].

Then combining these two lemmas we can establish the uniform bounds on the local solution ϕ , which implies our result, this step is completely parallel to Ibrahim and Majdoub [6] and we omit it.

Our results can extend to a more general variable coefficient second order partial differential equation with operator as follow:

$$L \equiv \partial_t^2 + 2b^i(t, x)\partial_{ti}^2 - a^{ij}(t, x)\partial_{ij}^2 + L_1, \quad a^{ij} = a^{ji},$$

where all coefficients are real and C^∞ , and L_1 is a first order operator. In general, we can get rid of cross terms (that is, terms like $b^i\partial_{ti}^2$) by the following procedure: let us write (with new first order terms L'_1)

$$L \equiv (\partial_t + b^i\partial_i)^2 - (a^{ij} + b^ib^j)\partial_{ij}^2 + L'_1.$$

If, in the region under consideration, we can perform a change of variables

$$X_1 = \varphi_1(t, x), \dots, X_n = \varphi_n(t, x), T = t,$$

and set

$$\frac{\partial\varphi_j}{\partial t} + b^i\frac{\partial\varphi_j}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

in such a way that the vector field $\partial_t + b^i\partial_i$ becomes ∂_T , then the operator L takes the form

$$\overline{L} = \partial_T^2 - \overline{a}^{ij}\partial_{X_i X_j}^2 + \overline{L}_1,$$

for some new coefficients $\overline{a}^{ij}$ and lower order terms $\overline{L}_1$.

As an application of our result, we can consider the critical wave equation in the Schwarzschild spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ with parameter $M > 0$, where g is the Schwarzschild metric whose line element is

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2d\omega^2,$$

where $d\omega^2$ is the measure on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$. While the singularity at $r = 0$ is a true metric singularity, we note that the apparent singularity at $r = 2M$ is merely a coordinate singularity. Indeed, define the Regge-Wheeler tortoise coordinate r^* by

$$r^* = r + 2M \log(r - 2M) - 3M - 2M \log M,$$

and set $v = t + r^*$. Then in the (r^*, t, ω) coordinates the Schwarzschild metric g is expressed in the form

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dv^2 + 2dvdr + r^2d\omega^2.$$

Let Σ be an arbitrary Cauchy surface for the (maximally extended) Schwarzschild space-time $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ stated as above and consider the Cauchy problem of the wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \square_g \phi - \phi^5 = 0, \\ (\phi, \phi_t)|_\Sigma = (\psi_0, \psi_1), \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

for this problem Marzuola et al [8] proved global existence and uniqueness of finite energy solution under the assumption of small initial energy, and according to our result we can remove the small energy assumption, that is

Theorem 1.4. For smooth initial data prescribed on Σ , equation (1.4) admits a unique global smooth solution in the (r^*, t, ω) coordinates.

Now we sketch the plan of this article. In the next section we recall some geometric concepts which are necessary for our proofs. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of lemma 1.2: the fundamental lemma expressing the non-concentration of L^6 part of the energy.

Finally, we remark that although our non-concentration lemma is stated only in dimension $n = 3$, the proof works in any dimension for the critical wave equations.

In this paper, the letter C denotes a constant which may change from one to the other.

2 Null frame

Let $\{g_{ij}(t, x)\}_{i,j=1}^3$ denotes the inverse matrix of $\{g^{ij}(t, x)\}_{i,j=1}^3$, and consider the split metric $g = -dt^2 + g_{ij}(t, x)dx^i dx^j = g_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta$ on $\mathbb{R}_{x,t}^4$ (close to the Minkowski metric). So we will work in the spacetime, a 4-dimensional manifold M . Local coordinates on M are denoted by $x_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The convention is used that Latin indices run from 1 to 3 while Greek indices relate to the spacetime manifold M and run from 1 to 4. The index 4 corresponds to the time coordinate, while (x_1, x_2, x_3) are the spatial coordinates. The corresponding partial derivatives are $\partial_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}$. We introduce an optical function $\underline{u}$ (close to $t + |x|$) for g : a C^1 function which satisfies the eikonal equation

$$\begin{cases} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \underline{u} \partial_\beta \underline{u} = g_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\alpha \underline{u} \partial^\beta \underline{u} = \langle \nabla \underline{u}, \nabla \underline{u} \rangle = |\nabla \underline{u}|^2 = 0, \\ \underline{u}(t, 0) = t, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\langle , \rangle$ denotes the inner product about the given metric. In PDE terms, this means that the level surfaces $\{\underline{u} = C\}$ are characteristic surfaces for any operator with principal symbol $g^{\alpha\beta}\xi_\alpha\xi_\beta$. From this construction it is easy to see that the first order derivatives of $\underline{u}$ are locally bounded.

Then we set

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{L} &= -\nabla\underline{u} = (\partial_t\underline{u})\partial_t - (g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u})\partial_j = m^{-1}(\partial_t + N), \\ L &= \frac{\partial_t}{\partial_t\underline{u}} + \frac{(g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u})\partial_j}{(\partial_t\underline{u})^2} = m(\partial_t - N),\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

where ∇ is the gradient about the given metric, $m = (\partial_t\underline{u})^{-1}$, $N = -\frac{(g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u})\partial_j}{\partial_t\underline{u}} = -(mg^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u})\partial_j$. It is easily to see that they are close to $\partial_t - \partial_r$ and $\partial_t + \partial_r$ respectively. And $D_{\underline{L}}\underline{L} = 0$, showing that a integral curve of $\underline{L}$ is a geodesic. This follows from the symmetry of the Hessian, since for any vector field X , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle D_{\underline{L}}\underline{L}, X \rangle &= -\langle D_{\underline{L}}\nabla\underline{u}, X \rangle = -\langle D_X\nabla\underline{u}, \underline{L} \rangle \\ &= \langle D_X\underline{L}, \underline{L} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}X \langle \underline{L}, \underline{L} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}X \langle \nabla\underline{u}, \nabla\underline{u} \rangle = 0.\end{aligned}$$

So the integral curves of the field $\underline{L}$ generate a backward geodesic cone with vertices on the t -axis. Using the coordinate t , we define the foliation $\sum_{t_1} = \{(x, t), t = t_1\}$, and using $\underline{u}$, we define the foliation by nonstandard 2-spheres as

$$S_{t_1, \underline{u}_1} = \{(x, t), t = t_1, \underline{u}(x, t) = \underline{u}_1\}.$$

Since $\nabla\underline{u}$ is orthogonal to $\{\underline{u} = \underline{u}_1\}$ and ∂_t is orthogonal to $\sum_{t_1}$, the field $\underline{L}$ is a null vector orthogonal to the geodesic cone and N is an horizontal field orthogonal to $S_{t_1, \underline{u}_1}$. Moreover,

$$\langle N, N \rangle = \frac{1}{(\partial_t\underline{u})^2}g_{ij}(g^{ik}\partial_k\underline{u})(g^{jl}\partial_l\underline{u}) = \frac{1}{(\partial_t\underline{u})^2}g^{kl}\partial_k\underline{u}\partial_l\underline{u} = 1.$$

Then, if (e_1, e_2) form an orthonormal basis on the nonstandard spheres, the frame

$$e_1, e_2, e_3 \equiv L = m(\partial_t - N), e_4 \equiv \underline{L} = m^{-1}(\partial_t + N)$$

is a null frame with

$$\begin{cases} \langle e_1, e_1 \rangle = \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle = 1, \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = 0, \\ \langle e_1, L \rangle = \langle e_1, \underline{L} \rangle = \langle e_2, L \rangle = \langle e_2, \underline{L} \rangle = 0, \\ \langle L, L \rangle = \langle \underline{L}, \underline{L} \rangle = 0, \langle L, \underline{L} \rangle = -2. \end{cases}$$

We will work in the null frame as above and it requires that we know the vector $D_\alpha e_\beta$, that is: the frame coefficients $\langle D_\alpha e_\beta, e_\gamma \rangle$.

We define the frame coefficients by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle D_a \underline{L}, e_b \rangle &= \underline{\chi}_{ab} = \underline{\chi}_{ba}, & \langle D_a L, e_b \rangle &= \chi_{ab} = \chi_{ba}, \\ \langle D_{\underline{L}} \underline{L}, e_a \rangle &= 0, & \langle D_L L, e_a \rangle &= 2\xi_a, \\ \langle D_{\underline{L}} L, e_a \rangle &= 2\eta_a, & \langle D_L \underline{L}, e_a \rangle &= 2\underline{\eta}_a, \\ \langle D_{\underline{L}} \underline{L}, L \rangle &= 0, & \langle D_L L, \underline{L} \rangle &= 4\underline{\omega} = -\langle D_L \underline{L}, L \rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

where $a, b = 1, 2$.

If we call k the second fundamental form of Σ_t by

$$k(X, Y) = - \langle D_X \partial_t, Y \rangle, k_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_t g_{ij},$$

then k is nothing but the first order derivatives of g and so bounded. By some simple computation, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eta_a &= -2k_{Na}, \\ 2\underline{\eta}_a &= -2me_a(\underline{u}_t) + 2k_{Na}, \\ 2\xi_a &= -2m^2\underline{\eta}_a + 2m^2k_{Na}, \\ \chi_{ab} &= -m^2\underline{\chi}_{ab} - 2mk_{ab}, \\ \underline{\omega} &= -\partial_t m = \frac{\partial_{tt}\underline{u}}{(\partial_t\underline{u})^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

For the details, one can read Alinhac [1]. And we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of these frame coefficients near the origin, thus we have

Theorem 2.1. Assuming $\xi_a, \eta_a, \underline{\eta}_a, \underline{\omega}, \underline{\chi}_{ab}, \chi_{ab}$ are frame coefficients as above, then

$$|\eta_a| \leq C, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{ct}{2} \leq \underline{\omega} = \frac{\partial_{tt}\underline{u}}{(\partial_t\underline{u})^2} = m^2 \partial_{tt}\underline{u} \leq -\frac{ct}{2}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\frac{1}{t-\underline{u}} + ct \leq \underline{\chi}_{aa} \leq \frac{1}{t-\underline{u}} - ct, \tag{2.7}$$

$$|\underline{\eta}_a| \leq -Ct, \tag{2.8}$$

$$|\xi_a| \leq C - Ct, \tag{2.9}$$

$$|1 - m||\underline{\chi}_{aa}| \leq C, \tag{2.10}$$

$$4 + Ct \leq \chi_{aa}\underline{u} + \chi_{bb}\underline{u} + \underline{\chi}_{aa}u + \underline{\chi}_{bb}u \leq 4 - Ct, \tag{2.11}$$

$$(2 + Ct)|\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 \leq \sum_{a,b=1}^2 (\chi_{ab}\underline{u} + \underline{\chi}_{ab}u)e_a(\phi)e_b(\phi) \leq (2 - Ct)|\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2, \tag{2.12}$$

where $a = 1, 2$; c, C are positive constants; $t < 0$ as we work in the backward geodesic cone starting from the origin and $u = 2t - \underline{u}$.

The first inequality is obviously from (2.4), and to prove the other inequality of this theorem, we need several lemmas stated below. First let us introduce the comparison theorem.

Assuming C, D take values in the real, symmetric, $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices. If for any $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}), (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $\sum \alpha_i^2 = \sum \beta_i^2$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} C \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \geq \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} D \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

then we say $C \succ D$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $gl(n-1, \mathbb{R})$ be set of $n-1$ order real symmetric matrix, $K, \tilde{K} : [0, b) \rightarrow$

$gl(n-1, \mathbb{R})$. Suppose $A : [0, b) \rightarrow gl(n-1, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^2 A}{ds^2} + AK = 0, \\ A(0) = 0, \quad \frac{dA}{ds}(0) = I(\text{the unit matrix}), \end{cases}$$

and $\tilde{A} : [0, b) \rightarrow gl(n-1, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^2 \tilde{A}}{ds^2} + A\tilde{K} = 0, \\ \tilde{A}(0) = 0, \quad \frac{d\tilde{A}}{ds}(0) = I(\text{the unit matrix}), \end{cases}$$

where $s \in [0, b)$. Also we assume $A, \tilde{A}$ are invertible in $[0, b)$ and $K \prec \tilde{K}$, then

$$A^{-1} \frac{dA}{ds} \succ \tilde{A}^{-1} \frac{d\tilde{A}}{ds}. \quad (2.13)$$

For the proof see [16].

If the metric $\tilde{g} = -dt^2 + \tilde{g}_{ij}(x)dx^i dx^j$, $\tilde{g}_{ij}(x)$ depend only on the spatial coordinates, then $\tilde{u} = t + \tilde{\rho}$ is an optical function for $\tilde{g}$ satisfies $\tilde{u}(t, 0) = t$, where $\tilde{\rho}$ is the Riemannian distance function on the Riemannian manifold $(\mathbb{R}^3, \tilde{g}_{ij}(x))$. The corresponding null frame related to $\tilde{u}$ is

$$\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3 = \partial_t + \partial_{\tilde{\rho}} = \partial_t + \tilde{g}^{ij} \tilde{\rho}_i \partial_j, \quad \tilde{e}_4 = \partial_t - \partial_{\tilde{\rho}} = \partial_t - \tilde{g}^{ij} \tilde{\rho}_i \partial_j,$$

where $\{\tilde{g}^{ij}(x)\}_{i,j=1}^3$ denotes the inverse matrix of $\{\tilde{g}_{ij}(x)\}_{i,j=1}^3$. And then $\underline{u}$ can be compared with $\tilde{u}$ through lemma 2.2.

Let $\gamma : [0, b) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{x,t}^4, g)$ is the integral curve of $\underline{L} = -\nabla u$ and we call it null geodesic, as $\langle \underline{L}, \underline{L} \rangle = 0$, then $\dot{\gamma} = \underline{L} = -\nabla u$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3 = L, e_4 = \underline{L}\}$ be parallel null frame along γ , $J_i(s)$ be the Jacobi field along γ , satisfies $J_i(0) = 0, \dot{J}_i(0) = e_i(0), (i = 1, 2, 3)$. So we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_1(s) \\ J_2(s) \\ J_3(s) \end{pmatrix} = A(s) \begin{pmatrix} e_1(s) \\ e_2(s) \\ e_3(s) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A(s)$ denotes an invertible matrix valued function of the parameter $s \in [0, b)$. Then the Jacobi equation becomes

$$\frac{d^2 A}{ds^2} + AK = 0,$$

where $K = (K_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3$, $K_{ij} = \langle R(\dot{\gamma}, e_i)\dot{\gamma}, e_j \rangle$ denotes a symmetric matrix of 3×3 . We then easily get

$$H_{\underline{u}}(e_i, e_j) = D^2 \underline{u}(e_i, e_j) = -(A^{-1} \frac{dA}{ds})_{ij} = -\underline{\chi}_{ij}, \quad (2.14)$$

where $H_{\underline{u}}$ denotes the Hessian form of $\underline{u}$. And then (2.3) yields

$$(\underline{\chi}_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\chi}_{11} & \underline{\chi}_{12} & 2\underline{\eta}_1 \\ \underline{\chi}_{12} & \underline{\chi}_{22} & 2\underline{\eta}_2 \\ 2\underline{\eta}_1 & 2\underline{\eta}_2 & -4\underline{\omega} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.15)$$

Correspondingly for optical function $\underline{u} = t + \tilde{\rho}$, we have $\tilde{A}, \tilde{K}$ and

$$H_{\underline{u}}(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) = D^2 \underline{u}(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) = D^2(t + \tilde{\rho})(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) = -(\tilde{A}^{-1} \frac{d\tilde{A}}{ds})_{ij} = -\tilde{\chi}_{ij}. \quad (2.16)$$

Note that one assumption of lemma 2.2 is $K \prec \tilde{K}$, but $K_{33} = \langle R(\underline{L}, \underline{L})\underline{L}, \underline{L} \rangle \neq 0$, while $\tilde{K}_{33} = \langle R(\partial_t - \partial_{\tilde{\rho}}, \partial_t + \partial_{\tilde{\rho}})\partial_t - \partial_{\tilde{\rho}}, \partial_t + \partial_{\tilde{\rho}} \rangle = 0$, so we have to introduce a conformally related metric tensor to $\tilde{g}$ to ensure the condition $K \prec \tilde{K}$. Let $(\tilde{g}_{ij}(x), \mathbb{R}^3)$ be a space form with positive constant sectional curvature c . We set then the conformally related metric $\tilde{g}_c = e^{ct^2} \tilde{g}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold of dimension n and let $g_c = \varphi g$ be a conformally related metric tensor to g , where $\varphi : M \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a map. Then

- (1) $\overset{c}{\nabla} = \frac{1}{\varphi} \nabla$, where ∇ and $\overset{c}{\nabla}$ are the gradients on (M, g) and (M, g_c) , respectively.
- (2) For $X, Y \in \Gamma TM$,

$$\overset{c}{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{2\varphi} X(\varphi)Y + \frac{1}{2\varphi} Y(\varphi)X - \frac{1}{2\varphi} g(X, Y) \nabla \varphi,$$

where ∇ and $\overset{c}{\nabla}$ are the Levi-Civita connections of (M, g) and (M, g_c) , respectively.

- (3) If $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a map then, for $X, Y \in \Gamma TM$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_f^c(X, Y) = & H_f(X, Y) - \frac{1}{2\varphi} [g(\nabla \varphi, X)g(\nabla f, Y) \\ & + g(\nabla f, X)g(\nabla \varphi, Y) - g(\nabla \varphi, \nabla f)g(X, Y)], \end{aligned}$$

where H_f and H_f^c are the Hessian forms of f on (M, g) and (M, g_c) , respectively.

For the proof see [15].

From lemma 2.3, we have

$$\langle \overset{c}{\nabla}(t + \tilde{\rho}), \overset{c}{\nabla}(t + \tilde{\rho}) \rangle_{\tilde{g}_c} = e^{ct^2} \langle \frac{1}{e^{ct^2}} \nabla(t + \tilde{\rho}), \frac{1}{e^{ct^2}} \nabla(t + \tilde{\rho}) \rangle_{\tilde{g}} = 0,$$

then $t + \tilde{\rho}$ is also an optical function for $\tilde{g}_c$. As above, we define $\tilde{A}_c, \tilde{K}_c, \tilde{\chi}_{cij}$ associated to $\tilde{g}_c$.

It is easily known that for a manifold (M, g_M) with constant curvature c

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y, Z, W) \\ = c[g_M(X, Z)g_M(Y, W) - g_M(X, W)g_M(Y, Z)], \quad \forall X, Y, W, Z \in \Gamma TM. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Then for the space form $(\tilde{g}_{ij}(x), \mathbb{R}^3)$ with positive constant sectional curvature c a computation according to (2.17) gives

$$\tilde{K} = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_{11} &= -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_1) = -\langle D_{\tilde{e}_1} \nabla(t + \tilde{\rho}), \tilde{e}_1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}} = -\langle D_{\tilde{e}_1} \nabla t, \tilde{e}_1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}} - \langle D_{\tilde{e}_1} \nabla_g \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{e}_1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}} \\ &= -D^2 \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_1) = -\sqrt{c} \cot(\sqrt{c} \tilde{\rho}) \langle \tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}} = -\sqrt{c} \cot(\sqrt{c} \tilde{\rho}), \\ \tilde{\chi}_{22} &= -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}(\tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_2) = -\sqrt{c} \cot(\sqrt{c} \tilde{\rho}) \langle \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_2 \rangle_{\tilde{g}} = -\sqrt{c} \cot(\sqrt{c} \tilde{\rho}), \\ \tilde{\chi}_{12} &= -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2) = -\sqrt{c} \cot(\sqrt{c} \tilde{\rho}) \langle \tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2 \rangle_{\tilde{g}} = 0, \\ \tilde{\chi}_{33} &= -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}(\tilde{e}_3, \tilde{e}_3) = -\langle D_{\tilde{e}_3} \nabla(t + \tilde{\rho}), \tilde{e}_3 \rangle_{\tilde{g}} = \langle D_{\partial_t + \partial \tilde{\rho}} \partial_t - \partial \tilde{\rho}, \partial_t + \partial \tilde{\rho} \rangle_{\tilde{g}} = 0, \\ \tilde{\chi}_{13} &= -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_3) = -\langle D_{\tilde{e}_3} \nabla(t + \tilde{\rho}), \tilde{e}_1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}} = \langle D_{\partial_t + \partial \tilde{\rho}} \partial_t - \partial \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{e}_1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}} = 0, \\ \tilde{\chi}_{23} &= -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}(\tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3) = -\langle D_{\tilde{e}_3} \nabla(t + \tilde{\rho}), \tilde{e}_2 \rangle_{\tilde{g}} = \langle D_{\partial_t + \partial \tilde{\rho}} \partial_t - \partial \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{e}_2 \rangle_{\tilde{g}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where ∇_g is the gradient on the space form $(\tilde{g}_{ij}(x), \mathbb{R}^3)$. Thanks to lemma 2.3, after the conformal change of metric they become

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_c &= \begin{pmatrix} 2ce^{ct^2} - c^2 t^2 e^{ct^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2ce^{ct^2} - c^2 t^2 e^{ct^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4ce^{ct^2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{\chi}_{c11} &= -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}^c(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_1) = -(H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_1) - ct) = -\sqrt{c} \cot(\sqrt{c} \tilde{\rho}) + ct, \\ \tilde{\chi}_{c22} &= -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}^c(\tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_2) = -(H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}(\tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_2) - ct) = -\sqrt{c} \cot(\sqrt{c} \tilde{\rho}) + ct, \\ \tilde{\chi}_{c12} &= -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}^c(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2) = -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2) = 0, \\ \tilde{\chi}_{c33} &= -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}^c(\tilde{e}_3, \tilde{e}_3) = -(H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}(\tilde{e}_3, \tilde{e}_3) - 2ct) = 2ct, \\ \tilde{\chi}_{c13} &= -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}^c(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_3) = -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_3) = 0, \\ \tilde{\chi}_{c23} &= -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}^c(\tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3) = -H_{\tilde{\underline{u}}}(\tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

So we can ensure $K \prec \tilde{K}_c$ when $t \rightarrow 0$ and c big enough.

Similarly, if we let $(\tilde{g}_{ij}(x), \mathbb{R}^3)$ be a space form with negative constant sectional curvature $-c$ and set the conformally related metric $\tilde{\tilde{g}}_c = e^{-ct^2} \tilde{g}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tilde{K}}_c &= \begin{pmatrix} -2ce^{-ct^2} - c^2 t^2 e^{-ct^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2ce^{-ct^2} - c^2 t^2 e^{-ct^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4ce^{-ct^2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c11} &= -H_{\tilde{\tilde{\underline{u}}}}^c(\tilde{\tilde{e}}_1, \tilde{\tilde{e}}_1) = -(H_{\tilde{\tilde{\underline{u}}}}(\tilde{\tilde{e}}_1, \tilde{\tilde{e}}_1) + ct) = -\sqrt{c} \coth(\sqrt{c} \tilde{\tilde{\rho}}) - ct, \\ \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c22} &= -H_{\tilde{\tilde{\underline{u}}}}^c(\tilde{\tilde{e}}_2, \tilde{\tilde{e}}_2) = -(H_{\tilde{\tilde{\underline{u}}}}(\tilde{\tilde{e}}_2, \tilde{\tilde{e}}_2) + ct) = -\sqrt{c} \coth(\sqrt{c} \tilde{\tilde{\rho}}) - ct, \\ \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c12} &= -H_{\tilde{\tilde{\underline{u}}}}^c(\tilde{\tilde{e}}_1, \tilde{\tilde{e}}_2) = -H_{\tilde{\tilde{\underline{u}}}}(\tilde{\tilde{e}}_1, \tilde{\tilde{e}}_2) = 0, \\ \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c33} &= -H_{\tilde{\tilde{\underline{u}}}}^c(\tilde{\tilde{e}}_3, \tilde{\tilde{e}}_3) = -(H_{\tilde{\tilde{\underline{u}}}}(\tilde{\tilde{e}}_3, \tilde{\tilde{e}}_3) + 2ct) = -2ct, \\ \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c13} &= -H_{\tilde{\tilde{\underline{u}}}}^c(\tilde{\tilde{e}}_1, \tilde{\tilde{e}}_3) = -H_{\tilde{\tilde{\underline{u}}}}(\tilde{\tilde{e}}_1, \tilde{\tilde{e}}_3) = 0, \\ \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c23} &= -H_{\tilde{\tilde{\underline{u}}}}^c(\tilde{\tilde{e}}_2, \tilde{\tilde{e}}_3) = -H_{\tilde{\tilde{\underline{u}}}}(\tilde{\tilde{e}}_2, \tilde{\tilde{e}}_3) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Again we can ensure $\tilde{\tilde{K}}_c \prec K$.

Using lemma 2.2, we get

$$A^{-1} \frac{dA}{ds} \succ \tilde{A}_c^{-1} \frac{d\tilde{A}_c}{ds}, \quad (2.20)$$

together with (2.14), we have

$$(H_{\underline{u}}(e_i, e_j) \prec (H_{\underline{u}}^c(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j)). \quad (2.21)$$

So

$$4\underline{\omega} = - \langle D_L \underline{L}, L \rangle = H_{\underline{u}}(e_3, e_3) \leq H_{\underline{u}}^c(\tilde{e}_3, \tilde{e}_3), \quad (2.22)$$

combining (2.18) and (2.22), we obtain

$$\underline{\omega} \leq -\frac{ct}{2}. \quad (2.23)$$

For the same reason we have

$$\underline{\omega} \geq \frac{ct}{2}, \quad (2.24)$$

and the inequality (2.6) of theorem 2.1 follows.

Combining lemma 2.2, (2.18) and (2.19), we obtain

$$-\sqrt{c} \cot(\sqrt{c}\tilde{\rho}) + ct = \tilde{\chi}_{caa} \leq \underline{\chi}_{aa} \leq \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{caa} = -\sqrt{c} \coth(\sqrt{c}\tilde{\rho}) - ct, \quad a = 1, 2, \quad (2.25)$$

as we adopt comparison theorem along integral curves of $\underline{L} = -\nabla \underline{u}$, $\tilde{\underline{L}} = -\nabla \tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\underline{L}}} = -\nabla \tilde{\tilde{u}}$ we set $\underline{u} = \tilde{u} = t + \tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\tilde{u}} = t + \tilde{\tilde{\rho}}$, so when $t, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\tilde{\rho}}$ are small (close to 0), we have

$$\frac{1}{t - \underline{u}} + ct \leq \underline{\chi}_{aa} \leq \frac{1}{t - \underline{u}} - ct, \quad a = 1, 2, \quad (2.26)$$

which is the desired inequality (2.7).

Using lemma 2.2, together with (2.14), we have

$$(\tilde{\chi}_{cij})_{i,j=1}^3 \prec (\underline{\chi}_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3 \prec (\tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{cij})_{i,j=1}^3,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\tilde{\chi}_{cij}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \leq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\underline{\chi}_{ij}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \leq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{cij}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\tilde{\chi}_{c11} + 2\tilde{\chi}_{c13} + \tilde{\chi}_{c33} \leq \underline{\chi}_{11} + 2\underline{\chi}_{13} + \underline{\chi}_{33} \leq \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c11} + 2\tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c13} + \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c33}. \quad (2.27)$$

As from lemma 2.2 we have

$$\tilde{\chi}_{cii} \leq \underline{\chi}_{ii} \leq \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{cii}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

then (2.27) means

$$\tilde{\chi}_{c11} + 2\tilde{\chi}_{c13} + \tilde{\chi}_{c33} - \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c11} - \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c33} \leq 2\underline{\chi}_{13} \leq \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c11} + 2\tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c13} + \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_{c33} - \tilde{\chi}_{c11} - \tilde{\chi}_{c33}. \quad (2.28)$$

Combining (2.15), (2.18), (2.19) and (2.26), a calculation gives

$$|\underline{\eta}_1| \leq -Ct.$$

For $|\underline{\eta}_2|$ we have the same result, and then we obtain the inequality (2.8) in theorem 2.1.

After that, inequality (2.9) can be easily obtained from (2.4).

To prove the inequality (2.10) of theorem 2.1 we need the following two steps:

First, show $\overset{0}{\nabla} \underline{u}_t$ is bounded, where $\overset{0}{\nabla}$ is the gradient on Euclidean space. From equation (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\underline{u}) &= \partial_t g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\underline{u} + 2g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_t\partial_j\underline{u} \\ &= \partial_t g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\underline{u} + 2g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\underline{u}_t \\ &= \partial_t(\partial_t\underline{u})^2 \\ &= 2\partial_t\underline{u}\partial_{tt}\underline{u}. \end{aligned}$$

As the first order derivatives of $\underline{u}$ are bounded, together with (2.6), we have

$$|N(\underline{u}_t)| \leq C.$$

Also from (2.4), we get

$$me_a(\underline{u}_t) = -\underline{\eta}_a + k_{Na},$$

thanks to inequality (2.8), it implies

$$|e_a(\underline{u}_t)| \leq C, \quad a = 1, 2,$$

so we finish the first step.

Second, as the result of the first step

$$|1 - m| = \left| \frac{\partial_t \underline{u} - 1}{\partial_t \underline{u}} \right| = |m| |\underline{u}_t(t, x) - \underline{u}_t(t, 0)| \leq C \sup_x |\overset{0}{\nabla} \underline{u}_t| |x| \leq C|x|. \quad (2.29)$$

Set $\underline{v} = t + \delta|x|$, $\delta > 0$, then if we choose δ small enough

$$\dot{\gamma}(\underline{v}) = \underline{L}(\underline{v}) = \partial_t \underline{u} \partial_t \underline{v} - g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \underline{v} = \partial_t \underline{u} - \delta g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \frac{x_j}{|x|} \geq 1 - C\delta > 0,$$

while

$$\dot{\gamma}(\underline{u}) = \underline{L}(\underline{u}) = 0.$$

As γ is a backwards integral curve of $\underline{L}$, along the curve γ we conclude

$$\underline{u} \geq \underline{v} = t + \delta|x|,$$

thus

$$\underline{u} - t \geq \delta|x|. \quad (2.30)$$

Combining (2.29), (2.30) and (2.7), we have

$$|1 - m||\underline{\chi}_{aa}| \leq C_1,$$

which means inequality (2.10).

Lemma 2.4. Inside the geodesic cone where $\underline{u} \leq 0$, we have

$$|\underline{u}| \leq C|t|, \quad |u| \leq C|t|. \quad (2.31)$$

Proof. By (2.30), along the integral curve of $\underline{L}$ starting from the origin, we have

$$t < t + \delta|x| \leq \underline{u} \leq 0, \quad (2.32)$$

then

$$2t \leq u = 2t - \underline{u} \leq t,$$

so we complete the proof of lemma 2.4.

By (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{aa}\underline{u} + \chi_{bb}\underline{u} + \underline{\chi}_{aa}u + \underline{\chi}_{bb}u \\ &= 2(\underline{\chi}_{aa} + \underline{\chi}_{bb})(t - \underline{u}) + (1 - m^2)(\underline{\chi}_{aa} + \underline{\chi}_{bb})\underline{u} - 2m(k_{aa} + k_{bb})\underline{u}, \end{aligned}$$

then (2.7), (2.10) and lemma 2.4 yield the inequality (2.11).

Now we prove the last inequality of theorem 2.1. Using lemma 2.2 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} e_1(\phi) & e_2(\phi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} (\tilde{\chi}_{cij}) \begin{pmatrix} e_1(\phi) \\ e_2(\phi) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \leq \begin{pmatrix} e_1(\phi) & e_2(\phi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} (\underline{\chi}_{ij}) \begin{pmatrix} e_1(\phi) \\ e_2(\phi) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \leq \begin{pmatrix} e_1(\phi) & e_2(\phi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} (\tilde{\chi}_{cij}) \begin{pmatrix} e_1(\phi) \\ e_2(\phi) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

together with (2.18) and (2.19), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\sqrt{c} \cot(\sqrt{c}\tilde{\rho}) + ct)((e_1(\phi))^2 + (e_2(\phi))^2) \\ & \leq \sum_{a,b=1}^2 \underline{\chi}_{ab} e_a(\phi) e_b(\phi) \\ & \leq (-\sqrt{c} \coth(\sqrt{c}\tilde{\rho}) - ct)((e_1(\phi))^2 + (e_2(\phi))^2), \end{aligned}$$

which implies ($t, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\rho}$ small)

$$\left(\frac{1}{t - \underline{u}} + ct\right)|\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 \leq \sum_{a,b=1}^2 \underline{\chi}_{ab} e_a(\phi) e_b(\phi) \leq \left(\frac{1}{t - \underline{u}} - ct\right)|\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2, \quad (2.33)$$

and (2.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a,b=1}^2 (\chi_{ab}\underline{u} + \underline{\chi}_{ab}u) e_a(\phi) e_b(\phi) \\
&= 2(t - \underline{u}) \sum_{a,b=1}^2 \underline{\chi}_{ab} e_a(\phi) e_b(\phi) + (1 - m^2)\underline{u} \sum_{a,b=1}^2 \chi_{ab} e_a(\phi) e_b(\phi) \\
&\quad - 2m\underline{u} \sum_{a,b=1}^2 k_{ab} e_a(\phi) e_b(\phi).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

As k_{ab} is bounded, combining (2.33), (2.10) and lemma 2.4 we conclude

$$(2 + Ct)|\bar{\nabla}\phi|^2 \leq \sum_{a,b=1}^2 (\chi_{ab}\underline{u} + \underline{\chi}_{ab}u) e_a(\phi) e_b(\phi) \leq (2 - Ct)|\bar{\nabla}\phi|^2. \tag{2.35}$$

So we finish the proof of theorem 2.1.

3 Non-concentration of the L^6 part of the energy

In this section, we will prove lemma 1.2, which is essential to prove global existence and regularity. First we introduce some notations.

Let $z_0 = (0, 0)$, be the vertices of the backward geodesic cone, then

$$Q(z_0) = \{(t, x) \in [t_0, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \underline{u} \leq 0, \ t_0 < 0\},$$

denotes the backward geodesic cone, if $t_0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < 0$, set

$$Q_{s_1}^{s_2} = Q(z_0) \cap ([s_1, s_2]),$$

and

$$M_{s_1}^{s_2} = \partial Q_{s_1}^{s_2} = \{(t, x) \in Q_{s_1}^{s_2} : \underline{u} = 0\},$$

denotes the mantle associated with the truncated cone $Q_{s_1}^{s_2}$.

$$Q(s) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \underline{u} \leq 0, \ t = s\}$$

denotes the spatial cross-sections of the backward cone $Q(z_0)$ when the time is s .

Define the energy of problem (1.1)

$$E_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\phi_t^2 + g^{ij}(t, x) \partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi + \frac{\phi^6}{3} \right) dx. \tag{3.1}$$

As we have showed in section 2 that $\partial_{tt}\underline{u}, \overset{0}{\nabla}\underline{u}_t$ are bounded locally, then $\underline{u}_t$ is continuous and together with (2.1) we have

$$\lim_{t,x \rightarrow 0} m(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lim_{t,x \rightarrow 0} \partial_t \underline{u}(t, x)} = \frac{1}{\lim_{t,x \rightarrow 0} \partial_t \underline{u}(t, 0)} = 1, \tag{3.2}$$

that is: $m = 1 + \mathcal{O}(t)$. So when t is small, $E_1(t)$ has a equivalent form

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(m^{-1}(L(\phi))^2 + m(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + (m + m^{-1})|\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 + \frac{m + m^{-1}}{3}\phi^6 \right) dv, \quad (3.3)$$

where $|\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 = (e_1(\phi))^2 + (e_2(\phi))^2$, and $dv = \sqrt{|g|}dx$ is the volume element corresponding to the metric g . Denoting the energy density

$$e(t) = \frac{1}{4} \left(m^{-1}(L(\phi))^2 + m(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + (m + m^{-1})|\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 + \frac{m + m^{-1}}{3}\phi^6 \right).$$

We then define the energy flux across M_s^t :

$$Flux_1(\phi, M_s^t) = \int_{M_s^t} \frac{\frac{\partial_t \underline{u}}{2}(\phi_t^2 + g^{ij}\partial_i\phi\partial_j\phi + \frac{\phi^6}{3}) - \phi_t g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \phi}{\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2}} d\nu, \quad (3.4)$$

where $d\nu$ denotes the induced Lebesgue measure on M_s^t . Similar to the energy, it has an equivalent form when t is small

$$Flux(\phi, M_s^t) = \int_{M_s^t} \frac{|\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 + (\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{\phi^6}{3}}{2\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + (g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u})^2}} d\sigma, \quad (3.5)$$

where $d\sigma = \sqrt{|g|}d\nu$ denotes the volume element corresponding to the metric g on M_s^T , and it implies

$$Flux(\phi, M_s^t) \geq 0.$$

Lemma 3.1. When t is small, $E_1(t)$ and $Flux_1(\phi, M_s^t)$ are equivalent to $E(t)$ and $Flux(\phi, M_s^t)$ respectively, that is: $E_1(t) \simeq E(t)$, $Flux_1(\phi, M_s^t) \simeq Flux(\phi, M_s^t)$.

Proof. Since

$$\underline{L} = m^{-1}(\partial_t + N), L = m(\partial_t - N),$$

we get

$$\partial_t = \frac{1}{2}(m^{-1}L + m\underline{L}), \quad (3.6)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t \phi)^2 &= \left[\frac{1}{2}(m^{-1}L(\phi) + m\underline{L}(\phi)) \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(m^{-2}(L(\phi))^2 + 2L(\phi)\underline{L}(\phi) + m^2(\underline{L}(\phi))^2). \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \phi \rangle = -(\partial_t \phi)^2 + g^{ij}\partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi = (e_1(\phi))^2 + (e_2(\phi))^2 - L(\phi)\underline{L}(\phi),$$

which yield

$$g^{ij}\partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi = |\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 - L(\phi)\underline{L}(\phi) + (\partial_t \phi)^2, \quad (3.7)$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1(t) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(m^{-2}(L(\phi))^2 + m^2(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + 2|\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 + \frac{2}{3}\phi^6 \right) dx, \\
Flux_1(\phi, M_s^t) &= \int_{M_s^t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2}} \left[\frac{1}{2\partial_t \underline{u}} ((\partial_t \underline{u}\phi_t)^2 - 2\partial_t \underline{u}\phi_t g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \phi \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \phi)^2) + \frac{\partial_t \underline{u}}{2} (g^{ij} \partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi + \frac{\phi^6}{3}) - \frac{1}{2\partial_t \underline{u}} (g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \phi)^2 \right] d\nu \\
&= \int_{M_s^t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2}} \left[\frac{m}{2} (\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{1}{2m} (|\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 - L(\phi)\underline{L}(\phi) + (\partial_t \phi)^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\phi^6}{6m} - \frac{\partial_t \underline{u}}{2} \left(\frac{g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \phi}{\partial_t \underline{u}} \right)^2 \right] d\nu \\
&= \int_{M_s^t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2}} \left[\frac{m}{2} (\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{1}{2m} (|\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - m^{-1}(\partial_t \phi + N(\phi))m(\partial_t \phi - N(\phi)) + (\partial_t \phi)^2) + \frac{\phi^6}{6m} - \frac{1}{2m} (N(\phi))^2 \right] d\nu \\
&= \int_{M_s^t} \frac{\frac{1}{m} |\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 + m(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{1}{3m}\phi^6}{2\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2}} d\nu,
\end{aligned}$$

together with (3.2), we obtain the result.

To finish the proof, We shall require several other lemmas. The first is standard and says that the energy associated with our equation is bounded.

Lemma 3.2. If $\phi \in C^\infty([t_0, 0) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ is a solution to (1.1), then $E_1(t)$ or $E(t)$ is bounded for all $t_0 \leq t < 0$. Additionally, if $t_0 \leq s < t < 0$, then

$$Flux(\phi, M_s^t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{when } s, t \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. To prove the boundedness of energy one multiplies both sides of the equation $\phi_{tt} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (g^{ij}(t, x)\phi_j) + \phi^5 = 0$ by $\partial_t \phi$ to obtain the identity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\phi_t^2 + g^{ij}(t, x)\phi_i \phi_j}{2} + \frac{\phi^6}{6} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_t g^{ij}(t, x)\phi_i \phi_j - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\phi_t g^{ij}(t, x)\phi_j \right) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\phi_t^2 + g^{ij}(t, x)\phi_i \phi_j}{2} + \frac{\phi^6}{6} \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} \partial_t g^{ij}(t, x)\phi_i \phi_j dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\phi_t g^{ij}(t, x)\phi_j \right) dx = 0.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

And since the last term is always zero, by the divergence theorem, due to the fact that $\phi(t, x) = 0$ for $|x| > C + t$, (3.10) implies

$$\partial_t E_1(t) \leq C E_1(t),$$

which means

$$E_1(t) \leq E_1(t_0) e^{C(t-t_0)},$$

so $E_1(t)$ or $E(t)$ is bounded, as desired.

To prove the other half of lemma 3.2, we integrate (3.9) over Q_s^t and arrive at the "flux identity":

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q(t)} \left(\phi_t^2(t, x) + g^{ij}(t, x) \partial_i \phi(t, x) \partial_j \phi(t, x) + \frac{\phi^6(t, x)}{3} \right) dx + Flux_1(\phi, M_s^t) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q(s)} \left(\phi_t^2(s, x) + g^{ij}(s, x) \partial_i \phi(s, x) \partial_j \phi(s, x) + \frac{\phi^6(s, x)}{3} \right) dx \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_s^t} \partial_t g^{ij}(\tau, x) \partial_i \phi(\tau, x) \partial_j \phi(\tau, x) dx d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$E_1(\phi, Q(t)) + Flux_1(\phi, M_s^t) - E_1(\phi, Q(s)) \leq C(t_0) \int_s^t E_1(\phi, Q(\tau)) d\tau, \quad (3.11)$$

where $C(t_0)$ is a constant depending on t_0 . And it means

$$\begin{aligned} & E_1(\phi, Q(t)) - C(t_0) \int_{t_0}^t E_1(\phi, Q(\tau)) d\tau + Flux_1(\phi, M_s^t) \\ & \leq E_1(\phi, Q(s)) - C(t_0) \int_{t_0}^s E_1(\phi, Q(\tau)) d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

which implies $E_1(\phi, Q(t)) - C(t_0) \int_{t_0}^t E_1(\phi, Q(\tau)) d\tau$ is a non-increasing function on $[t_0, 0)$. It is also bounded as we have showed above, hence $E_1(\phi, Q(t)) - C(T) \int_{t_0}^t E_1(\phi, Q(\tau)) d\tau$ and $E_1(\phi, Q(s)) - C(T) \int_{t_0}^s E_1(\phi, Q(\tau)) d\tau$ in (3.11) must approach a common limit. This in turn gives the important fact that

$$Flux_1(\phi, M_s^t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{when } s, t \rightarrow 0,$$

thanks to lemma 3.1, we complete the proof of lemma 3.2.

To prove lemma 1.2, we need to introduce the energy-momentum tensor Π as a symmetric 2-tensor by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(X, Y) &= X(\phi)Y(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} \langle X, Y \rangle |\nabla \phi|^2, \\ \Pi_{\alpha\beta} &= \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} |\nabla \phi|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where X, Y are vector fields and ϕ a fixed C^1 function. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\underline{L}, \underline{L}) &= (\underline{L}(\phi))^2, \quad \Pi(L, L) = (L(\phi))^2, \\ \Pi(\underline{L}, e_a) &= \underline{L}(\phi) e_a(\phi), \quad \Pi(L, e_a) = L(\phi) e_a(\phi), \\ \Pi(\underline{L}, L) &= L(\phi) \underline{L}(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} \langle L, \underline{L} \rangle |\nabla \phi|^2 = L(\phi) \underline{L}(\phi) + |\nabla \phi|^2 = |\overline{\nabla} \phi|^2, \\ \Pi(e_a, e_b) &= e_a(\phi) e_b(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} \langle e_a, e_b \rangle |\nabla \phi|^2 \\ &= e_a(\phi) e_b(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab} (|\overline{\nabla} \phi|^2 - L(\phi) \underline{L}(\phi)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where δ_{ab} denotes the Kronecker delta function.

We also need a key formula showed as a lemma below.

Lemma 3.3. Let ϕ be a C^1 function and Π be the associated energy-momentum tensor. Let X be a vector field, and set $P_\alpha = \Pi_{\alpha\beta}X^\beta$, then

$$\text{div}P \equiv D_\alpha P^\alpha = \square_g \phi X(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{\alpha\beta(X)} \pi_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (3.14)$$

where $\square_g$ is the wave operators associated to the given metric g and has formula as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \square_g \phi &= |g|^{-1/2} \partial_\alpha (g^{\alpha\beta} |g|^{1/2} \partial_\beta \phi) \\ &= -\partial_{tt} \phi + \partial_i (g^{ij}(t, x) \phi_j) + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{lm} \partial_m g_{ij} \partial_l \phi - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \partial_t g_{ij} \partial_t \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where $|g|$ is the absolute value of the determinant of the matrix $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ and $(g^{\alpha\beta})$ its inverse matrix.

For the proof one can read [1].

We then construct a multiplier: $\frac{1}{2}(\underline{u}L + u\underline{L}) + 1$, which is close to the Morawetz multiplier $t\partial_t + r\partial_r + 1$, and setting $Y = \frac{1}{2}(\underline{u}L + u\underline{L})$.

Following Christodoulou and Klainerman [3], the deformation tensor of a given vector field X is the symmetric 2-tensor $^{(X)}\pi$ defined by

$$^{(X)}\pi(Y, Z) \equiv \pi(Y, Z) = \langle D_Y X, Z \rangle + \langle D_Z X, Y \rangle.$$

In local coordinates

$$\pi_{\alpha\beta} = D_\alpha X_\beta + D_\beta X_\alpha,$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u &= 2\nabla t - \nabla \underline{u} = -2\partial_t + \underline{L} \\ &= -(m^{-1}L + m\underline{L}) + \underline{L} = -m^{-1}L + (1 - m)\underline{L}, \end{aligned}$$

then we can compute the deformation tensor of $Y = \frac{1}{2}(\underline{u}L + u\underline{L})$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} ^{(Y)}\pi_{\underline{L}\underline{L}} &= 0, \quad ^{(Y)}\pi_{L\underline{L}} = -2 - \frac{2}{m} + 2\underline{\omega}\underline{u}, \\ ^{(Y)}\pi_{LL} &= 4(1 - m) - 4\underline{\omega}\underline{u}, \quad ^{(Y)}\pi_{\underline{L}e_a} = (\eta_a - \underline{\eta}_a)\underline{u}, \\ ^{(Y)}\pi_{Le_a} &= \xi_a\underline{u} + 2\underline{\eta}_a u, \quad ^{(Y)}\pi_{e_a e_b} = \underline{\chi}_{ab} u + \chi_{ab}\underline{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}Y &= g^{\alpha\beta} \langle D_\alpha Y, e_\beta \rangle = g^{\alpha\beta} \langle D_\alpha \frac{1}{2}(\underline{u}L + u\underline{L}), e_\beta \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\chi_{aa}\underline{u} + \chi_{bb}\underline{u} + \underline{\chi}_{aa}u + \underline{\chi}_{bb}u) + 1 + m^{-1} - \underline{u}\underline{\omega}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Combining (1.1) and (3.15), we get

$$\square_g \phi = \phi^5 + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{lm} \partial_m g_{ij} \partial_l \phi - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \partial_t g_{ij} \partial_t \phi, \quad (3.17)$$

together with (3.14), substitueing X with Y we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}P &\equiv D_\alpha P^\alpha = \square_g \phi Y(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{\alpha\beta(Y)} \pi_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= (\phi^5 + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{lm} \partial_m g_{ij} \partial_l \phi - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \partial_t g_{ij} \partial_t \phi) Y(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{\alpha\beta(Y)} \pi_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= Y(\frac{\phi^6}{6}) + (\frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{lm} \partial_m g_{ij} \partial_l \phi - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \partial_t g_{ij} \partial_t \phi) Y(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{\alpha\beta(Y)} \pi_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= \text{div}(\frac{\phi^6 Y}{6}) - \frac{\phi^6}{6} \text{div}Y + (\frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{lm} \partial_m g_{ij} \partial_l \phi - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \partial_t g_{ij} \partial_t \phi) Y(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{\alpha\beta(Y)} \pi_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where $P_\alpha = \Pi_{\alpha\beta}Y^\beta$, and it means

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(P - \frac{1}{6}\phi^6Y) &= \frac{1}{6}\phi^6\operatorname{div}Y - Y(\phi)\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{lm}\partial_m g_{ij}\partial_l\phi - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\partial_t g_{ij}\partial_t\phi\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\Pi^{\alpha\beta(Y)}\pi_{\alpha\beta} \triangleq \tilde{R}(t, x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

By (3.7) and (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \square_g(\frac{1}{2}\phi^2) &= \operatorname{div}(\nabla(\frac{1}{2}\phi^2)) = \operatorname{div}(\phi\nabla\phi) = \langle D_\alpha\phi\nabla\phi, \partial^\alpha \rangle \\ &= \partial_\alpha(\phi) \langle \nabla\phi, \partial^\alpha \rangle + \phi\square_g\phi \\ &= g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha(\phi) \langle \nabla\phi, \partial_\beta \rangle + \phi\square_g\phi \\ &= -(\partial_t\phi)^2 + g^{ij}\phi_i\phi_j + \phi\square_g\phi \\ &= |\bar{\nabla}\phi|^2 - L(\phi)\underline{L}(\phi) + \phi^6 + \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{lm}\partial_m g_{ij}\partial_l\phi - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\partial_t g_{ij}\partial_t\phi\right), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$-\operatorname{div}(\phi\nabla\phi) = -|\bar{\nabla}\phi|^2 + L(\phi)\underline{L}(\phi) - \phi^6 - \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{lm}\partial_m g_{ij}\partial_l\phi - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\partial_t g_{ij}\partial_t\phi\right). \quad (3.20)$$

Adding (3.19) and (3.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(P - \frac{1}{6}\phi^6Y + \phi\nabla\phi) &= \tilde{R}(t, x) \\ -|\bar{\nabla}\phi|^2 + L(\phi)\underline{L}(\phi) - \phi^6 - \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{lm}\partial_m g_{ij}\partial_l\phi - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\partial_t g_{ij}\partial_t\phi\right) &\triangleq R(t, x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Integrating the identity (3.21) over the truncated geodesic cone Q_S^T , $S < T < 0$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &-\int_{Q(T)} \langle P - \frac{1}{6}\phi^6Y + \phi\nabla\phi, -\partial_t \rangle dv - \int_{M_S^T} \frac{\langle P - \frac{1}{6}\phi^6Y + \phi\nabla\phi, \nabla\underline{u} \rangle}{\sqrt{(\partial_t\underline{u})^2 + (g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u})^2}} d\sigma \\ &+ \int_{Q(S)} \langle P - \frac{1}{6}\phi^6Y + \phi\nabla\phi, -\partial_t \rangle dv = \int_{Q_S^T} R(t, x) dv dt, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Q(T)} \Pi(Y, \partial_t) - \langle \frac{1}{6}\phi^6Y - \phi\nabla\phi, \partial_t \rangle dv - \int_{Q(S)} \Pi(Y, \partial_t) - \langle \frac{1}{6}\phi^6Y - \phi\nabla\phi, \partial_t \rangle dv \\ &+ \int_{M_S^T} \frac{\langle P - \frac{1}{6}\phi^6Y + \phi\nabla\phi, \underline{L} \rangle}{\sqrt{(\partial_t\underline{u})^2 + (g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u})^2}} d\sigma = \int_{Q_S^T} R(t, x) dv dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

By (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(Y, \partial_t) - \langle \frac{1}{6}\phi^6Y - \phi\nabla\phi, \partial_t \rangle &= \Pi\left(\frac{1}{2}(\underline{u}L + u\underline{L}), \frac{1}{2}(m^{-1}L + m\underline{L})\right) \\ &- \langle \frac{1}{6}\phi^6\frac{1}{2}(\underline{u}L + u\underline{L}) - \phi\nabla\phi, \frac{1}{2}(m^{-1}L + m\underline{L}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{mu}{4}(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{u}{4m}(L(\phi))^2 + \left(\frac{u}{4m} + \frac{mu}{4}\right)|\bar{\nabla}\phi|^2 + \left(\frac{u}{12m} + \frac{mu}{12}\right)\phi^6 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2m}\phi L(\phi) + \frac{m}{2}\phi\underline{L}(\phi), \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& < P - \frac{1}{6}\phi^6 Y + \phi \nabla \phi, \underline{L} > = \Pi(Y, \underline{L}) - < \frac{1}{6}\phi^6 Y - \phi \nabla \phi, \underline{L} > \\
& = \Pi\left(\frac{1}{2}(m^{-1}L + m\underline{L}), \underline{L}\right) - < \frac{1}{6}\phi^6 \frac{1}{2}(\underline{u}L + u\underline{L}) - \phi \nabla \phi, \underline{L} > \\
& = \frac{1}{2}\underline{u}|\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}u(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{u\phi^6}{6} + \phi \underline{L}(\phi),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

then (3.22) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q(T)} \left[\frac{mu}{4}(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{u}{4m}(L(\phi))^2 + \left(\frac{u}{4m} + \frac{mu}{4}\right)|\nabla \phi|^2 + \left(\frac{u}{12m} + \frac{mu}{12}\right)\phi^6 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2m}\phi L(\phi) + \frac{m}{2}\phi \underline{L}(\phi) \right] dv + \int_{M_S^T} \frac{\frac{1}{2}u(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{1}{2}\underline{u}|\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{u}{6}\phi^6 + \phi \underline{L}(\phi)}{\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + (g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u})^2}} d\sigma \\
& \quad - \int_{Q(S)} \left[\frac{mu}{4}(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{u}{4m}(L(\phi))^2 + \left(\frac{u}{4m} + \frac{mu}{4}\right)|\nabla \phi|^2 + \left(\frac{u}{12m} + \frac{mu}{12}\right)\phi^6 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2m}\phi L(\phi) + \frac{m}{2}\phi \underline{L}(\phi) \right] dv = \int_{Q_S^T} R(t, x) dv dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where $Q(S) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \underline{u} \leq 0, t = S\}$. Noting that $\underline{u} = 0$ on the mantle M_S^T , and when S, T is small enough we can let $m = 1$ for the error margin is nothing but $\mathcal{O}(t^2)E(t)$, then (3.25) becomes a little simpler form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q(T)} \left[\frac{u}{4}(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{u}{4}(L(\phi))^2 + \frac{T}{2}|\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{T}{6}\phi^6 + \frac{1}{2}\phi L(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}\phi \underline{L}(\phi) \right] dv \\
& \quad + \int_{M_S^T} \frac{t(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \phi \underline{L}(\phi)}{\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + (g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u})^2}} d\sigma \\
& \quad - \int_{Q(S)} \left[\frac{u}{4}(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{u}{4}(L(\phi))^2 + \frac{S}{2}|\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{S}{6}\phi^6 + \frac{1}{2}\phi L(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}\phi \underline{L}(\phi) \right] dv \\
& = \int_{Q_S^T} R(t, x) dv dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \int_{Q(T)} \left[\frac{u}{4}(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{u}{4}(L(\phi))^2 + \frac{T}{2}|\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{T}{6}\phi^6 + \frac{1}{2}\phi L(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}\phi \underline{L}(\phi) \right] dv, \\
II &= \int_{M_S^T} \frac{t(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \phi \underline{L}(\phi)}{\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + (g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u})^2}} d\sigma = \int_{M_S^0} \frac{t(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \phi \underline{L}(\phi)}{\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + (g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u})^2}} d\sigma \\
& \quad - \int_{M_T^0} \frac{t(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \phi \underline{L}(\phi)}{\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + (g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u})^2}} d\sigma = II_1 - II_2, \\
III &= - \int_{Q(S)} \left[\frac{u}{4}(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{u}{4}(L(\phi))^2 + \frac{S}{2}|\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{S}{6}\phi^6 + \frac{1}{2}\phi L(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}\phi \underline{L}(\phi) \right] dv,
\end{aligned}$$

then (3.26) becomes

$$I + II_1 - II_2 + III = \int_{Q_S^T} R(t, x) dv dt. \tag{3.27}$$

Let us estimate the right-hind side of (3.27) first.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi^{\alpha\beta(Y)}\pi_{\alpha\beta} &= g^{\alpha\alpha'}g^{\beta\beta'}\Pi_{\alpha'\beta'}^{(Y)}\pi_{\alpha\beta} \\
&= (\underline{\omega}u - 1 - \frac{1}{m})|\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 + (1 - m - \underline{\omega}u)(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 \\
&\quad - \sum_{a=1}^2(\eta_a - \underline{\eta}_a)\underline{u}L(\phi)e_a(\phi) + \sum_{a,b=1}^2(\chi_{ab}\underline{u} + \underline{\chi}_{ab}u)e_a(\phi)e_b(\phi) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\chi_{aa}\underline{u} + \chi_{bb}\underline{u} + \underline{\chi}_{aa}u + \underline{\chi}_{bb}u)|\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(\chi_{aa}\underline{u} + \chi_{bb}\underline{u} + \underline{\chi}_{aa}u + \underline{\chi}_{bb}u)L(\phi)\underline{L}(\phi) \\
&\quad - \sum_{a=1}^2(\xi_a\underline{u} + 2\underline{\eta}_au)\underline{L}(\phi)e_a(\phi).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Combining (3.16) (3.19) (3.21) with (3.28), and set $m = 1$ (will not influence our result) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_S^T} R(t, x)dvdt &= \int_{Q_S^T} \left[\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}(\chi_{aa}\underline{u} + \chi_{bb}\underline{u} + \underline{\chi}_{aa}u + \underline{\chi}_{bb}u) + 2 - \underline{u}\omega}{6} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \frac{\phi^6}{6} \right. \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{4}(\chi_{aa}\underline{u} + \chi_{bb}\underline{u} + \underline{\chi}_{aa}u + \underline{\chi}_{bb}u) - 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\underline{u}\omega - 2) \right) |\overline{\nabla}\phi|^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^2 (\chi_{ab}\underline{u} + \underline{\chi}_{ab}u)e_a(\phi)e_b(\phi) \\
&\quad + \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}(\chi_{aa}\underline{u} + \chi_{bb}\underline{u} + \underline{\chi}_{aa}u + \underline{\chi}_{bb}u) \right) L(\phi)\underline{L}(\phi) - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\omega}u(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^2 (\eta_a - \underline{\eta}_a)\underline{u}L(\phi)e_a(\phi) + \sum_{a=1}^2 (\xi_a\underline{u} + 2\underline{\eta}_au)\underline{L}(\phi)e_a(\phi) \\
&\quad - \phi \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{lm}\partial_m g_{ij}\partial_l\phi - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\partial_t g_{ij}\partial_t\phi \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\underline{u}L(\phi) + u\underline{L}(\phi)) \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{lm}\partial_m g_{ij}\partial_l\phi - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\partial_t g_{ij}\partial_t\phi \right) \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\phi^6}{3} \right] dvdt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{Q_S^T} \left(-\phi \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{lm}\partial_m g_{ij}\partial_l\phi - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\partial_t g_{ij}\partial_t\phi \right) \right) dvdt \\
&\leq C(T - S) \left(\int_{Q(S)} \phi^6 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \left(\int_{Q(S)} dv \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left[\left(\int_{Q(S)} (\partial_t\phi)^2 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{Q(S)} (\partial_j\phi)^2 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq C(T - S)|S|(E(\phi, Q(S)))^{\frac{2}{3}}, \\
&\int_{Q_S^T} -\frac{1}{2}(\underline{u}L(\phi) + u\underline{L}(\phi)) \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{lm}\partial_m g_{ij}\partial_l\phi - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\partial_t g_{ij}\partial_t\phi \right) dvdt \\
&\leq C|S|(T - S)(E(\phi, Q(S))).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Combining (2.5), (2.6), (2.8), (2.9), (2.11), (2.12), (2.31), (3.29) and (3.30), we get

$$\int_{Q_S^T} R(t, x)dvdt \leq C|S|(T - S)(E(\phi, Q(S))) + C(T - S)|S|(E(\phi, Q(S)))^{\frac{2}{3}}. \tag{3.31}$$

On the surface M_S^T where $\underline{u} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & t(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \phi \underline{L}(\phi) \\ &= t(m^{-1} \partial_t \phi - g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \phi)^2 + \phi(m^{-1} \partial_t \phi - g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \phi) \\ &= -(\underline{u} - t)(g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \phi - m^{-1} \partial_t \phi)^2 - \phi(g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \phi - m^{-1} \partial_t \phi). \end{aligned}$$

If we parameterize M_S^0 by

$$y \rightarrow (f(y), y), \quad y \in Q(S),$$

then by $\underline{u}(f(y), y) = 0$ on M_S^0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{u}_t f_i + \underline{u}_i &= 0, \\ f_i &= -\frac{\underline{u}_i}{\underline{u}_t} = -m \underline{u}_i, \end{aligned}$$

and let $\psi(y) = \phi(f(y), y)$, then $d\sigma = \sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + (g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u})^2} dy$ and

$$\psi_j = \phi_t f_j + \phi_j,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \psi &= \phi_t g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} f_j + g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \phi \\ &= -m \phi_t g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \underline{u} + g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \phi \\ &= -m \phi_t (\partial_t \phi)^2 + g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \phi \\ &= -m^{-1} \phi_t + g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, a calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &= - \int_{Q(S)} [(\underline{u} - S)(g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \psi)^2 + \psi g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \psi] dv \\ &= - \int_{Q(S)} \frac{((\underline{u} - S)g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \psi + \psi)^2}{\underline{u} - S} dv + \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\psi^2}{\underline{u} - S} + \psi g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \psi dv. \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Integrating by parts we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q(S)} \psi g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \psi dv \\ &= \int_{Q(S)} g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \psi^2 \right) dv \\ &= \int_{Q(S)} \left[\partial_j \left(\frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \psi^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 \partial_j (g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u}) \right] dv \\ &= \int_{\partial Q(S)} \frac{g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \underline{u}}{2 \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2}} \psi^2 d\sigma - \int_{Q(S)} \frac{1}{2} \psi^2 \partial_j (g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u}) dv. \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \square_g \underline{u} &= \operatorname{div}(\nabla \underline{u}) = -\operatorname{div}(\underline{L}) = -\chi_{11} - \chi_{22} \\ &= -\partial_{tt} \underline{u} + \partial_j (g^{ij}(t, x) \partial_i \underline{u}) + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{lm} \partial_m g_{ij} \partial_l \underline{u} - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \partial_t g_{ij} \partial_t \underline{u}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\partial_j(g^{ij}(t, x)\partial_i \underline{u}) = -\underline{\chi}_{11} - \underline{\chi}_{22} + \partial_{tt}\underline{u} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{lm}\partial_m g_{ij}\partial_l \underline{u} + \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\partial_t g_{ij}\partial_t \underline{u},$$

then from (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\frac{2}{\underline{u} - t} + Ct + C \leq \partial_j(g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}) \leq \frac{2}{\underline{u} - t} - Ct + C. \quad (3.34)$$

Combining (3.32), (3.33) and (3.34) we get

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &= - \int_{Q(S)} \frac{((\underline{u} - S)g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \psi + \psi)^2}{\underline{u} - S} d\nu + \int_{\partial Q(S)} \frac{g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \underline{u}}{2\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2}} \psi^2 d\nu \\ &\quad - (CS + C) \int_{Q(S)} \psi^2 d\nu \\ &= - \int_{M_S^0} \frac{(\underline{u} - S)(-m^{-1}\phi_t + g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \phi + \frac{\phi}{\underline{u} - S})^2 + (CS + C)\phi^2}{\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + (g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u})^2}} d\sigma \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial Q(S)} \frac{g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \underline{u}}{2\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2}} \psi^2 d\nu \\ &= \int_{M_S^0} \frac{S(\underline{L}(\phi) + \frac{\phi}{S})^2 + (CS + C)\phi^2}{\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + (g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u})^2}} d\sigma + \int_{\partial Q(S)} \frac{g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \underline{u}}{2\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2}} \phi^2 d\sigma \\ &\leq C|S| \int_{M_S^0} (\underline{L}(\phi))^2 d\sigma + C \int_{M_S^0} (\frac{1}{|S|} + 1 + |S|)\phi^2 d\sigma \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial Q(S)} \frac{g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \underline{u}}{2\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2}} \phi^2 d\nu \\ &\leq C|S| Flux(\phi, M_S^0) + C(|S| + |S|^2 + |S|^3) \left(\int_{M_S^0} \phi^6 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial Q(S)} \frac{g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \underline{u}}{2\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2}} \psi^2 d\nu \\ &\leq C|S|(Flux(\phi, M_S^0) + Flux(\phi, M_S^0)^{\frac{1}{3}}) + \int_{\partial Q(S)} \frac{g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \underline{u}}{2\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2}} \phi^2 d\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

For *III*, a computation gives (also let $m = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{u}{4}(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{u}{4}(L(\phi))^2 + \frac{S}{2}|\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{S}{6}\phi^6 + \frac{1}{2}\phi L(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}\phi \underline{L}(\phi) \\ &= \frac{2S - u}{4}(m^{-1}\partial_t \phi - g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \phi)^2 + \frac{u}{4}(m\partial_t \phi + m^2 g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \phi)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{S}{2}[-(\partial_t \phi)^2 + g^{ij}\partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi + (m^{-1}\partial_t \phi - g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \phi)(m\partial_t \phi + m^2 g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \phi)] \\ &\quad + \frac{S}{6}\phi^6 + \phi \partial_t \phi \\ &= \frac{S}{2}(\phi_t^2 + g^{ij}\partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi + \frac{\phi^6}{3}) + \phi_t(\phi + (\underline{u} - S)g^{ij}\partial_i \underline{u}\partial_j \phi). \end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the right-hand side, using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_t(\phi + (\underline{u} - S)g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\phi) \\
& \leq |S|[\frac{\phi_t^2}{2} + \frac{(\phi + (\underline{u} - S)g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\phi)^2}{2|S|^2}] \\
& \leq |S|[\frac{\phi_t^2}{2} + \frac{(\phi + (\underline{u} - S)g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\phi)^2}{2(\underline{u} - S)^2}] \\
& = |S|\frac{\phi_t^2}{2} + \frac{|S|}{2}[\frac{\phi^2}{(\underline{u} - S)^2} + (g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\phi)^2 + \frac{2\phi g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\phi}{\underline{u} - S}] \\
& \leq |S|\frac{\phi_t^2}{2} + \frac{|S|}{2}[\frac{\phi^2}{(\underline{u} - S)^2} + g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\underline{u}g^{ij}\partial_i\phi\partial_j\phi + \frac{2\phi g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\phi}{\underline{u} - S}] \\
& \leq |S|\frac{\phi_t^2}{2} + \frac{|S|}{2}[\frac{\phi^2}{(\underline{u} - S)^2} + m^{-2}g^{ij}\partial_i\phi\partial_j\phi + \frac{2\phi g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\phi}{\underline{u} - S}].
\end{aligned}$$

As $S < 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{S}{2}(\phi_t^2 + g^{ij}\partial_i\phi\partial_j\phi + \frac{\phi^6}{3}) + \phi_t(\phi + (\underline{u} - S)g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\phi) \\
& \leq \frac{S\phi^6}{6} - \frac{S\phi^2}{2(\underline{u} - S)^2} - \frac{S\phi g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\phi}{\underline{u} - S},
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
III & = - \int_{Q(S)} [\frac{S}{2}(\phi_t^2 + g^{ij}\partial_i\phi\partial_j\phi + \frac{\phi^6}{3}) + \phi_t(\phi + (\underline{u} - S)g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\phi)] dv \\
& \geq |S| \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\phi^6}{6} dv + S \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\phi^2}{(\underline{u} - S)^2} dv + \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\phi g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\phi}{\underline{u} - S} dv \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Together with (3.34), a similar computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\phi g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\phi}{\underline{u} - S} dv \\
& = \int_{Q(S)} \frac{g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j(\frac{\phi^2}{2})}{\underline{u} - S} dv \\
& = \int_{Q(S)} \partial_j \left(\frac{g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}(\frac{\phi^2}{2})}{\underline{u} - S} \right) dv - \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\phi^2}{2} \partial_j \left(\frac{g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}}{\underline{u} - S} \right) dv \\
& = \int_{\partial Q(S)} \frac{g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\underline{u}\phi^2}{2(\underline{u} - S)\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^3(\partial_j\underline{u})^2}} d\nu - \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\phi^2}{2(\underline{u} - S)} \partial_j(g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}) dv \\
& + \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\phi^2 g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\underline{u}}{2(\underline{u} - S)^2} dv \\
& = \int_{\partial Q(S)} \frac{g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\underline{u}\phi^2}{-2S\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^3(\partial_j\underline{u})^2}} d\nu - \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\phi^2}{2(\underline{u} - S)} \left(\frac{2}{\underline{u} - S} + CS + C \right) dv \\
& + \int_{Q(S)} \frac{m^{-2}\phi^2}{2(\underline{u} - S)^2} dv.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Combining (3.36) and (3.37), we get

$$III \geq |S| \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\phi^6}{6} dv - \int_{\partial Q(S)} \frac{g^{ij}\partial_i\underline{u}\partial_j\underline{u}\phi^2}{2\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^3(\partial_j\underline{u})^2}} d\sigma - (CS + CS^2) \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\phi^2}{2(\underline{u} - S)} dv. \tag{3.38}$$

Using Hölder's inequality it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \int_{Q(T)} \left[\frac{u}{4} (\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \frac{u}{4} (L(\phi))^2 + \frac{T}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{T}{6} \phi^6 + \frac{1}{2} \phi L(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \phi \underline{L}(\phi) \right] dv \\
&\leq C|T|E(\phi, Q(T)) + C|T| \left[\left(\int_{Q(T)} \phi^6 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \left(\left(\int_{Q(T)} (L(\phi))^2 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{Q(T)} (\underline{L}(\phi))^2 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \\
&\leq C|T|E(\phi, Q(T)) + C|T|E(\phi, Q(T))^{\frac{2}{3}}, \\
II_2 &= \int_{M_T^0} \frac{t(\underline{L}(\phi))^2 + \phi \underline{L}(\phi)}{\sqrt{(\partial_t \underline{u})^2 + (g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u})^2}} d\sigma \leq C|T|Flux(\phi, M_T^0) + C|T|Flux(\phi, M_T^0)^{\frac{2}{3}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

Now, we combine (3.27), (3.31), (3.35), (3.38) and (3.39) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\phi^6}{6} dv &\leq III + \int_{\partial Q(S)} \frac{g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \underline{u} \phi^2}{2 \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2} d\sigma + (CS + CS^2) \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\phi^2}{2(\underline{u} - S)} dv \\
&= -I - II_1 + II_2 + \int_{Q_S^T} R(t, x) dv dt + \int_{\partial Q(S)} \frac{g^{ij} \partial_i \underline{u} \partial_j \underline{u} \phi^2}{2 \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \underline{u})^2} d\sigma \\
&\quad + (CS + CS^2) \int_{Q(S)} \frac{\phi^2}{2(\underline{u} - S)} dv \\
&\leq C|T|(E(\phi, Q(T)) + E(\phi, Q(T))^{\frac{2}{3}}) \\
&\quad + C|S|(Flux(\phi, M_S^0) + Flux(\phi, M_S^0)^{\frac{1}{3}}) \\
&\quad + C|T|(Flux(\phi, M_T^0) + Flux(\phi, M_T^0)^{\frac{2}{3}}) \\
&\quad + C|S|(T - S)(E(\phi, Q(S)) + (E(\phi, Q(S)))^{\frac{2}{3}}) \\
&\quad + (CS^2 + CS^3)(E(\phi, Q(S)))^{\frac{1}{3}},
\end{aligned}$$

and then the result of lemma 1.2 follows as we can choose $T = -S^2$.

Acknowledgement

We are very grateful to Professor Alinhac for giving a series of lectures in Fudan University, introducing the idea of null frame by Christodoulou and Klainerman to us and for many helpful discussions. Also we thank Professor Yuxin Dong and Professor Yuanlong Xin for helping us to understand some knowledge of Riemannian Geometry.

The authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant 10728101, the 973 Project of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China, the doctoral program foundation of the Ministry Education of China, the "111" project and SGST 09DZ2272900, the outstanding doctoral science foundation program of Fudan University.

References

- [1] S. Alinhac, Geometric Analysis of Hyperbolic Equations, an introduction, Lecture Notes, Université Paris-Sud, (2009).

- [2] N. Burq, G. Lebeau and F. Planchon, Global existence for energy critical waves in 3-D domains, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **21**(2008), no. 3, pp. 831-845.
- [3] D.Christodoulou and S.Klainerman, The global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [4] M. G. Grillakis, Regularity and asymptotic behavior of the wave equation with a critical nonlinearity, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **132** (1990), 485-509.
- [5] G. Grillakis, Regularity for the wave equation with a critical nonlinearity, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **45**(1992), 749-774.
- [6] P. S. Ibrahim, and M. Majdoub, Solutions globales de l'équation des ondes semi-linéaire critique à coefficients variables, *Bull. Soc. math. France.* (1) **131** (2003), 1-22.
- [7] Kapitanskii LV. The Cauchy problem for semilinear wave equations. I. *J. Soviet Math.* 49: 1166-1186, II. *J. Soviet Math.* 62: 2746-2777, III. *J. Soviet Math.* 49: 2619-2645.
- [8] J.Marzuola, J.Metcalf, D.Tataru and M.Tohaneanu Strichartz estimates on schwarzschild black hole backgrounds. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 293: 2010, no. 1, pp. 37-83.
- [9] J. Rauch, The u^5 -Klein-Gordon equation, *Nonlinear PDE's and their Applications*, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., vol. 53, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1976, pp. 335-364.
- [10] J. Shatah and M. Struwe, Regularity results for nonlinear wave equations, *Ann of Math. (2)* **138**(1993), 503-518.
- [11] J. Shatah and M. Struwe, Well-posedness in the energy space for semilinear wave equation with critical growth. *IMRN* 1994, 303-309.
- [12] H. F. Smith, A parametrix construction for wave equations with $C^{1,1}$ coefficients, *Ann. Inst. Fourier(Grenoble)* , **48**(1998), no. 3, 797-835.
- [13] H. F. Smith and C. D. Sogge, On the critical semilinear wave equation outside convex obstacles, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8**(1995), no. 4, pp. 879-916.
- [14] M. Struwe, Globally regular solutions to the u^5 -Klein-Gordon equation, *Ann. Sci. Norm. Sup. Pisa* **15** (1988), 495-513.
- [15] E. García-Río and D. N. Kupli, *Semi-Riemannian Maps and Their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers , 1998.
- [16] H. Wu, C. Shen and Y. Xu, *Riemannian Geometry*, Peking University Press, China, 1989.
- [17] Y. Zhou and N. Lai, Global existence of the critical semilinear wave equations with variable coefficients outside obstacles, Preprint.